IV. 1

City of North Tonawanda
Department of Engineering
City Hall, 216 Payne Avenue
North Tonawanda, NY 14120-5493
www.northtonawanda.org

Dale W. Marshall, P.E.
City Engineer
Phone: **(716) 695-8565**
Fax: **(716) 695-8568**

MAR 2 0 2012

March 15, 2012

Honorable Robert G. Ortt, Mayor
and Common Council Members
City Hall
North Tonawanda, New York 14120

RE: Columbia Drive Improvements
Project No. 2012-01
SEQRA - Environnemental Assessment

Honorable Body:

In accordance with the New York State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA), the City in its capacity as lead agency, has prepared an environmental assessment of the significance of potential environmental impacts of the installation of Approximately 1,000 linear feet of concrete curb, addition of 41 new parking spaces and widening of Columbia Drive. This project is considered an unlisted action under SEQRA.

In order for the City to issue a Negative Declaration, Notice of Determination of Non-Significance, the Common Council should act on the following attached resolution.

Very truly yours,

Dale W. Marshall

Dale W. Marshall, P.E.
City Engineer

DWM:dcm
Attachment

Cc: File, w/a
City Attorney, w/a
Superintendent of Public Works, w/a

WHEREAS, the City of North Tonawanda intends to widen Columbia Drive, an existing brick road of varying widths approximately 500 feet in length, and construction of approximately 1,000 linear feet of concrete curb for the addition of 41 new parking spaces.

BE IT RESOLVED, that the Common Council has reviewed the Environmental Assessment prepared by the Engineer; and

BE IT RESOLVED, that the Common Council declares that based on the Environmental Assessment which has been prepared, the project is an unlisted action and will not result in any significant adverse impacts, and therefore will not have a significant impact on the environment; and

FURTHER, BE IT RESOLVED that the Common Council hereby issues a Negative Declaration under SEQR Regulations for the roadway improvements to the Columbia Drive, and directs the Mayor to sign said Environmental Assessment form.

617.20

Appendix C
State Environmental Quality Review
SHORT ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM
For UNLISTED ACTIONS Only

PART I - PROJECT INFORMATION (To be completed by Applicant or Project Sponsor)

1. APPLICANT/SPONSOR
City of North Tonawanda

2. PROJECT NAME
Columbia Drive Widening & Curb Construction

3. PROJECT LOCATION:
Municipality City of North Tonawanda County Niagara

4. PRECISE LOCATION (Street address and road intersections, prominent landmarks, etc., or provide map)
Columbia Drive, North Tonawanda, New York

5. PROPOSED ACTION IS:
☐ New ☐ Expansion ☑ Modification/alteration

6. DESCRIBE PROJECT BRIEFLY:
Road reconstruction of a 30 foot wide pavement, approximately 900 feet in length, construction of approximately 1,800 linear feet of concrete 'L' curb, the installation of approximately 900 feet of storm sewer, on Marcia Drive

7. AMOUNT OF LAND AFFECTED:
Initially .62 acres Ultimately .62 acres

8. WILL PROPOSED ACTION COMPLY WITH EXISTING ZONING OR OTHER EXISTING LAND USE RESTRICTIONS?
☑ Yes ☐ No If No, describe briefly

9. WHAT IS PRESENT LAND USE IN VICINITY OF PROJECT?
☑ Residential ☐ Industrial ☐ Commercial ☐ Agriculture ☐ Park/Forest/Open Space ☐ Other
Describe:
900 LF road with approximately 26 parcels

10. DOES ACTION INVOLVE A PERMIT APPROVAL, OR FUNDING, NOW OR ULTIMATELY FROM ANY OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCY (FEDERAL, STATE OR LOCAL)?
☐ Yes ☑ No If Yes, list agency(s) name and permit/approvals:

11. DOES ANY ASPECT OF THE ACTION HAVE A CURRENTLY VALID PERMIT OR APPROVAL?
☐ Yes ☑ No If Yes, list agency(s) name and permit/approvals:

12. AS A RESULT OF PROPOSED ACTION WILL EXISTING PERMIT/APPROVAL REQUIRE MODIFICATION?
☐ Yes ☑ No

I CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ABOVE IS TRUE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE
Applicant/sponsor name: City Of North Tonawanda Date: 3/15/12
Signature: Dave W Marshall

If the action is in the Coastal Area, and you are a state agency, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with this assessment

Reset

PART II - IMPACT ASSESSMENT (To be completed by Lead Agency)

A. DOES ACTION EXCEED ANY TYPE I THRESHOLD IN 6 NYCRR, PART 617.4? If yes, coordinate the review process and use the FULL EAF.

☐ Yes ☑ No

B. WILL ACTION RECEIVE COORDINATED REVIEW AS PROVIDED FOR UNLISTED ACTIONS IN 6 NYCRR, PART 617.6? If No, a negative declaration may be superseded by another involved agency.

☐ Yes ☑ No

C. COULD ACTION RESULT IN **ANY** ADVERSE EFFECTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE FOLLOWING: (Answers may be handwritten, if legible)

C1. Existing air quality, surface or groundwater quality or quantity, noise levels, existing traffic pattern, solid waste production or disposal, potential for erosion, drainage or flooding problems? Explain briefly:

Brief increase noise levels, minor construction dust

C2. Aesthetic, agricultural, archaeological, historic, or other natural or cultural resources; or community or neighborhood character? Explain briefly:

N/A

C3. Vegetation or fauna, fish, shellfish or wildlife species, significant habitats, or threatened or endangered species? Explain briefly:

N/A

C4. A community's existing plans or goals as officially adopted, or a change in use or intensity of use of land or other natural resources? Explain briefly:

N/A

C5. Growth, subsequent development, or related activities likely to be induced by the proposed action? Explain briefly:

N/A

C6. Long term, short term, cumulative, or other effects not identified in C1-C5? Explain briefly:

N/A

C7. Other impacts (including changes in use of either quantity or type of energy)? Explain briefly:

N/A

D. WILL THE PROJECT HAVE AN IMPACT ON THE ENVIRONMENTAL CHARACTERISTICS THAT CAUSED THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL AREA (CEA)?

☐ Yes ☑ No If Yes, explain briefly:

E. IS THERE, OR IS THERE LIKELY TO BE, CONTROVERSY RELATED TO POTENTIAL ADVERSE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS?

☐ Yes ☑ No If Yes, explain briefly:

PART III - DETERMINATION OF SIGNIFICANCE (To be completed by Agency)

INSTRUCTIONS: For each adverse effect identified above, determine whether it is substantial, large, important or otherwise significant. Each effect should be assessed in connection with its (a) setting (i.e. urban or rural); (b) probability of occurring; (c) duration; (d) irreversibility; (e) geographic scope; and (f) magnitude. If necessary, add attachments or reference supporting materials. Ensure that explanations contain sufficient detail to show that all relevant adverse impacts have been identified and adequately addressed. If question D of Part II was checked yes, the determination of significance must evaluate the potential impact of the proposed action on the environmental characteristics of the CEA.

☐ Check this box if you have identified one or more potentially large or significant adverse impacts which **MAY** occur. Then proceed directly to the FULL EAF and/or prepare a positive declaration.

☑ Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above and any supporting documentation, that the proposed action **WILL NOT** result in any significant adverse environmental impacts **AND** provide, on attachments as necessary, the reasons supporting this determination.

City of North Tonawanda
Name of Lead Agency

Date

Robert G. Ortt
Print or Type Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency

Mayor
Title of Responsible Officer

Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency

Signature of Preparer (If different from responsible officer)

Reset